

Chapter 3 - Text

Management and Retrieval

Literature:
Baeza-Yates, R.; Ribeiro-Neto, B.: *Modern Information Retrieval*.
Addison-Wesley, Harlow, England. 1999



Outline

- Text as a media object
- Text/Information retrieval models
 - boolean model
 - fuzzy set model
 - vector model
- Relevance feedback
- Retrieval evaluation
 - relevance, precision, recall
- Query languages
 - keyword-based
 - pattern matching
- Document preprocessing
- Indexing and Searching




Text

- Raw data
 - sequence of characters (letters, digits, special characters) of variable length, printable
- Registration data
 - character set (ISO Latin-1, Unicode, Kanji)
 - # of bits/character (8, 16, ...)
 - character encoding (ASCII, EBCDIC, UTF-8, UTF-16)
 - length of text, or end-of-text character (0x0)
 - length of line, or end-of-line character (<LF>, <RET>)
- Descriptive data
 - structural information, e.g.
 - chapter (nr, heading)
 - section (nr, chapter-nr, position, length)
 - content information (keywords, abstract, ...)
- Operations
 - read: determine length, substring, position of a subsequence
 - write: concatenate, replace



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Information Retrieval Process



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Traditional Information Retrieval Models

- Based on **index terms** as a means for internal document representation
 - restricted form: keyword (usually a noun) with a meaning of its own
 - general form: any word appearing in a document in a collection of documents
- Fundamental **assumption**: semantics of a document (and user information need) can be expressed through a set of index terms
 - but not completely – a lot of semantics is "lost" in the process
 - matching of documents and queries is imprecise
- Central **problem**: predicting which documents are **relevant**, and which not
- An information retrieval model
 - refines the internal document/query representation
 - defines comparison function (ranking function) for two documents, or a document and a query to determine relevance of documents
- Classic models, developed originally for text retrieval
 - boolean, vector, probabilistic
 - extensions, variations



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Basic Concepts

- Document collection
 - contains a set of text documents
 - scope of a retrieval operation, which returns relevant documents
- Index term
 - helps describe the main theme of a document
- Term weight
 - numerical value
 - describes the importance of an index term in describing a specific document
 - (or describes the importance of a query term for the user information need)
- Index term vocabulary
 - set of all index terms used to describe the documents in a collection/system



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Boolean Model

- Simple retrieval model based on set theory and boolean algebra
 - received a lot of attention in the early IR years
 - incorporated in a lot of early commercial IR systems
- Internal document representation: a set of index terms
 - term weights are binary
- Comparison function only considers presence/absence of query keyword in the document
- Query
 - index term
 - combination of index terms using boolean operators
 - AND (set intersection)
 - OR (set union)
 - NOT (set complement)

Boolean Model – Example

- Vocabulary: {digital library content management multimedia database}
- Documents
 - d1: {digital library multimedia}
 - d2: {digital library content management}
 - d3: {content management multimedia database}
- Queries and results


library *content*




library *OR* *content*



library *AND* *content*



library *AND NOT* *content*



Additional Operators

- BUT
 - motivation: 'NOT content' retrieves all documents that do not contain "content"
 - may be the whole document collection
 - negation is often restricted to the BUT operation (equivalent to AND NOT)
- OF – construct
 - search for documents that contain m out of n ($m < n$) terms
 - example: 2 OF (content, library, multimedia)
 - equivalent to a more complex boolean expression
(content AND library) OR (content AND multimedia) OR (library AND multimedia)



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Query Processing Steps

- Query normalization in disjunctive normal form (DNF) or conjunctive normal form (CNF)
 - query: content AND ((digital AND library) OR multimedia)
 - DNF: (content AND digital AND library) OR (content AND multimedia)
 - CNF: content AND (digital OR multimedia) AND (library OR multimedia)
- Query evaluation
 - every term determines a set of documents described by the term
 - complex query: determine result using the set operations that correspond to the operators
 - DNF will help reduce size of intermediate results (first intersection, then union)



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Problems With Boolean Model

- Exact model based on binary weights
 - strong data retrieval "flavor" (instead of IR)
 - search is too precise, no notion of similarity considered
- Query result
 - all result documents are considered equally relevant
 - no ranking
 - complete presentation/inspection of result required
 - depending on the query, result is often found to be
 - too large
 - too small (empty)
- Boolean query operators
 - are considered unnatural, too difficult to use by most end-users
 - confusion with the colloquial semantics of "and", "or", "not"



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Possible Improvements

- Attempt to address the "exact model" problem
 - introduce different levels of relevance
 - turn "AND" into "OR" and
 - present results based on the number of terms matched by the document
 - example: library AND content -> library OR content
 - first return documents containing both terms (d2)
 - then those containing any of the terms (d1, d3)
- Attempt to address the query result (size) problem using faceted query
 - two-level search
 1. formulate query and refine it based on named (previous) queries and result set size
 2. retrieve final query result
 - example:

■ content	returns	Q1: 5276
■ Q1 AND management	returns	Q2: 17
■ display Q2	returns	17 result documents



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Fuzzy Set Model

- Alternative set-theoretic model that extends boolean retrieval with "fuzziness"
 - Generalizes the boolean operators
 - Fuzziness introduces a gradual association of documents with terms
- Based on fuzzy set theory

Definition:

A **fuzzy subset** A of a **universe of discourse** U is characterized by a **membership function** $\mu_A : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which associates with each element u of U a number $\mu_A(u)$ in the interval [0, 1].

- Fuzzy Sets in Information Retrieval
 - universe is the document collection
 - term t defines a fuzzy subset
 - membership function $\mu_t(d)$
 - 0 for no relevance
 - 1 for maximal relevance
 - value between 0 and 1 for gradual relevance



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Fuzzy Set Model – Operations

- Standard operations on fuzzy sets
 - every document is a member of each fuzzy set
 - the usual set operator semantics is not applicable
 - standard operators determine a new membership value
 - AND (conjunction): $\mu_{A \cap B}(u) = \min(\mu_A(u), \mu_B(u))$
 - OR (disjunction): $\mu_{A \cup B}(u) = \max(\mu_A(u), \mu_B(u))$
 - NOT (negation): $\mu_{\neg A}(u) = 1 - \mu_A(u)$
- Example

μ	d1	d2	d3
content	0,7	1	1
database	0,5	0,75	1
content \cap database	0,5	0,75	1
content \cup database	0,7	1	1
\neg content	0,3	0	0



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Query Processing Steps

- Queries and query processing
 - query is transformed into DNF
 - every query term determines a fuzzy set
 - application of fuzzy set operators on fuzzy sets
 - result: documents sorted by descending membership values
- Limiting the size of the retrieval result
 - result consists of all documents (complete universe)
 - reduce result size using
 - threshold for membership value
 - fixed result set size

Membership Function

- Numerous approaches for determining membership values
- Example: term-term correlation matrix (Ogawa, Morita & Kobayashi)

- defines correlation $c_{i,j}$ of any pair of terms t_i and t_j in the vocabulary
 - rows correspond to term t_i , columns to term t_j
 - n_i - #docs containing t_i , n_j - #docs containing t_j
 - $n_{i,j}$ - #docs containing both t_i and t_j
- membership degree for term t_i and document d_j
 - 1, if the index term is contained in the document
 - ~ 1 , if a term closely related to the index term is contained

$$c_{i,j} = \frac{n_{i,j}}{n_i + n_j - n_{i,j}}$$

$$\mu_{t_i}(d_j) = 1 - \prod_{t_k \in d_j} (1 - c_{i,k})$$

- Example:
 - d1: {digital library multimedia}
 - d2: {digital library content management}
 - d3: {content management multimedia database}

	digital	library	content	management	multimedia	database
digital	1	1	0,33	0,33	0,33	0
library	1	1	0,33	0,33	0,33	0
content	0,33	0,33	1	1	0,33	0,5
management	0,33	0,33	1	1	0,33	0,5
multimedia	0,33	0,33	0,33	0,33	1	0,5
database	0	0	0,5	0,5	0,5	1

Vector Model

- Addresses limitations of the boolean model (i.e., binary weights) by assigning non-binary weights to index terms in queries and documents
- Assumption: fixed set of terms used for queries and as document descriptors
- Approach
 - fixed vocabulary consisting of N terms
 - document $D_i = (T_{i1}, T_{i2}, \dots, T_{ik}, \dots, T_{iN})$, T_{jk} weight of term k in document i
 - query $Q_j = (Q_{j1}, Q_{j2}, \dots, Q_{jk}, \dots, Q_{jN})$, Q_{jk} weight of term k in query j
 - both document and query are interpreted as N-dimensional vectors in the vector space defined by the set of terms
 - similarity of document D_i and query Q_j is defined as the correlation of the two vectors
 - cosine similarity quantifies the correlation using the cosine of the angle between vectors

$$\text{sim}_{\cos}(D_i, Q_j) = \frac{D_i \cdot Q_j}{|D_i| \times |Q_j|} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N T_{i,k} \times Q_{j,k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^N T_{i,k}^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^N Q_{j,k}^2}}$$

Calculating Index Term Weights

- TFIDF (term frequency/inverse document frequency) method
 - Determines a weight $w_D(t)$ for each term t of a document D based on
 - its frequency in the document (term frequency, $tf_D(t)$) and
 - the inverse of its frequency in all documents (inverse document frequency, $idf(t)$)
 - Basic weight formula: $w_D(t) = tf_D(t) \cdot idf(t)$
- Idea:
 - terms occurring more frequently in the document D have a higher weight because they help characterize the document D
 - terms occurring in almost every document receive a lower weight because they don't help to distinguish document D from the other documents
- Many different approaches to calculate $tf_D(t)$ and $idf(t)$
 - e.g., with $n_{D,x}$ being the number of occurrences of term x in document D, T being the set of all terms in D, N being the total number of documents, and N_t being the number of documents that contain term t (at least once):

$$tf_D(t) = \frac{n_{D,t}}{\max_{x \in T} (n_{D,x})} \quad idf_D(t) = \log \frac{N}{N_t}$$

Vector Model - Summary

- Very popular method
- Requires fixed set of numerical properties (e.g., term weights) per document
- Problems:
 - terms are assumed to be independent from each other (i.e., not correlated), which is unrealistic
 - doesn't work well for large term vocabularies, large documents
 - query is a vector, there are no boolean operators
 - possible combination with fuzzy set model to introduce boolean operators



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Probabilistic Retrieval Models

- Parameters:
 - $P(\text{rel})$ – probability that a document is relevant
 - $P(\text{nonrel})$ – probability that a document is not relevant
 - a_1 – cost associated with returning a non-relevant document
 - a_2 – cost associated with not returning a relevant document
- Document retrieval as a cost minimization problem
 - document is included in the result set, if $a_2 P(\text{rel}) \geq a_1 P(\text{nonrel})$
- Main task:
 - estimation of $P(\text{rel})$ and $P(\text{nonrel})$ – see literature



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Relevance Feedback

- End-user marks returned documents as relevant or irrelevant
- Query modification
 - terms present in documents marked as relevant are added to the query, or their weights are increased
 - terms present in documents marked as irrelevant are removed from the query, or their weights are decreased
 - improves retrieval quality, but only for the current user
 - Example (for vector model)

$$Q^{(i+1)} = \alpha Q^{(i)} + \frac{\beta}{|Rel|} \sum_{d \in Rel} d - \frac{\gamma}{|NonRel|} \sum_{d \in NonRel} d$$

- Document modification
 - query terms not present in the document are added as descriptors with an initial weight
 - query terms present in relevant documents receive an increase for the document descriptors
 - document descriptor weight is reduced for descriptors which are not query terms (because document was found without the term)
 - positive effects if similar queries follow, effects questionable for other queries




© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Retrieval Evaluation

- Response time
- Relevance
 - Recall: fraction of relevant documents which has been retrieved
 - recall = #relevant results / #all relevant documents
 - Precision: fraction of retrieved documents which is relevant
 - precision = #relevant results / #result documents


- Contradictory goals
 - maximize precision
 - maximize recall
- Retrieval engine needs to find compromise
- Problem: determining **all** relevant documents
 - requires inspection of document collection
 - benchmark on small test database



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Retrieval Evaluation (2)

- Precision-Recall-Graph:




- determines precision for increasing recall numbers
- needs to be determined for a large, representative set of queries
 - aggregated into average precision-recall figures

Retrieval Evaluation (3)

- Example:

- Result: R, R, I, I, R, R, I, I, R, I

#results	R/I	recall	precision
1	R	1/N	1/1
2	R	2/N	2/2
3	I	2/N	2/3
4	I	2/N	2/4
5	R	3/N	3/5
6	R	4/N	4/6
7	I	4/N	4/7
8	I	4/N	4/8
9	R	5/N	5/9
10	I	5/N	5/10



Query Languages

- Different types of queries possible
 - largely dependent on the underlying information retrieval model
- Keyword-based querying
 - single-word
 - context-based
 - boolean
 - natural language
- Pattern matching



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Keyword-Based Querying

- Single-word queries
 - ask for documents containing one (or more) words
 - example
"content", "management"
- Context queries: searching for words "near" other words
 - phrase search: find a sequence of words
 - example
"content management"
does not match "content and multimedia database management"
 - considers a 'normalized' phrase representation
 - number of separator/whitespace characters in the text is ignored
 - usually does not consider "uninteresting" words (stopwords)
 - previous query could match "content and management"
 - proximity search: find a set of words with a maximum distance from each other
 - distance: characters, words, sentences, paragraphs
 - examples
"content" IN SAME SENTENCE AS "management"
"content" NEAR "management" WITHIN 3 WORDS



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Keyword-Based Querying (2)

- Boolean queries
 - involve boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) – see previous discussion in this chapter
- Natural language queries
 - query is an enumeration of words
 - ranking may consider
 - number of words matched by the document
 - proximity of words appearing in the document vs. those in the query
- General option: query term expansion
 - to cover linguistic variations (see discussion of stemming later in this chapter), or
 - by introducing synonyms, broader terms, narrower terms (using a thesaurus)

Pattern Matching

- Retrieval of pieces of text that match a specified pattern
 - pattern: set of syntactic features that have to occur in a text segment
 - search for all documents containing a text segment that matches the pattern
- Types of patterns
 - word – string of characters
 - prefix/suffix – beginning/end of a text word
 - substring – string appearing within a text word
 - range – pair of string defining a lexical range
 - example: ["content" "continent"] will also match "context"
 - allowing errors – word with error threshold matches "similar" words
 - Edit-distance functions, e.g. Levenshtein distance:
Count the number of edit operations (insert, modify, delete) to turn string a into string b
 - Example:
kitten
sitting
 ▶ 2 replacements, 1 insertion $\text{LevenshteinDist}(\text{"kitten"}, \text{"sitting"}) = 3$
 - Weighting of operations possible (e.g. replace more expensive than delete)
 - Normalization to interval [0,1] by dividing result through $\max(\text{length}(\text{String A}), \text{length}(\text{string B}))$

Pattern Matching (2)

- Types of patterns (cont.)
 - wildcard characters
 - ., +, * match a single, at least one, any number of arbitrary characters in a word
 - regular expressions: general pattern built by simple strings
 - extended patterns
 - subsets of regular expressions
 - expressed with a simpler syntax
 - examples
 - wildcard characters (see above)
 - case-insensitive matching

operator	meaning
.	any character
A*	any number of As
A+	one or more As
A?	single A or nothing
[a-d]	any of a, b, c, or d
(a)	matches expression a
a b	either a or b



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Document Preprocessing

- Goal: produce internal logical document representation
 - set of descriptors, index terms for each document
- Manual preprocessing
 - classification (hierarchical, faceted)
 - indexing
 - words contained in the document
 - words not contained in the document
 - using thesaurus
 - descriptor attributes (aspects, roles)
 - example: name:carpenter vs. profession:carpenter
 - descriptor weights
- Automatic preprocessing
 - assign index terms based on the text content of the document
 - goal: improve retrieval quality
 - involves various types of operations on the text
 - successive transformations of text into index terms



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Preprocessing Operations


- Lexical analysis
 - treat digits, hyphens, punctuation marks, case of letters to produce a sequence of words
- Stopword elimination
 - filter out words with very low discrimination values (i.e., unsuitable for retrieval)
- Stemming
 - reduce words to their word stems (e.g., remove prefixes, suffixes, inflections) to allow for syntactic variations of query terms
- Index term selection
 - select words that carry more "semantics"
 - usually based on the syntactic nature (e.g., only nouns)

and also

- Construction of term categorization structures (thesaurus), extracting document text structure



Phases of Text Preprocessing



Lexical Analysis

- Converts a stream of characters into a sequence of words
 - problem: identification of words in the text
- Subtasks
 - recognition of whitespace characters as word separators
 - treatment of numbers
 - numbers alone are usually too vague without a surrounding context, can be disregarded
 - example: "2008" could be a year, phone number, PIN, ...
 - but maybe "510B.C." or "0631 205 3275" are useful index terms
 - advanced lexical analysis to recognize and normalize specific kinds of numbers
 - hyphenated words
 - break up into words? - "state-of-the-art" vs "state of the art"
 - don't break up - "B-52", "cutting-edge", ...
 - removing punctuation marks
 - o.k. for "text, image, audio ...", "510B.C."
 - but not for "x.id" (vs. "xid") in program code
 - converting to upper/lower case for normalization
 - what about "TED" vs. "Ted", "OR" vs. "or", ...



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Stopword Elimination

- Stopword: word that appears too frequently in the document collection (e.g., >80%) to be of any discriminative use
 - don't contribute to the retrieval task, can be eliminated
 - articles, prepositions, conjunctions are likely candidates
 - might also include adjectives, adverbs, verbs
- Additional benefit: reduces the size of the indexing structure
- Possible problems
 - reduction of precision
 - "War and Peace" vs. "war or peace", "war, peace"
 - reduction of recall
 - "To be or not to be" cannot be found



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Stemming & Index Term Selection

- Stemming
 - stem: portion of a word left after removal of affixes (pre-/suffixes)
 - example: "connect" for "connected", "connecting", "connection", "connections"
 - reduces variants of the same root word to a common concept
 - different strategies, such as table lookup or affix removal algorithms
 - Porter algorithm: rules for stripping off suffixes
- Index term selection
 - full text representation adopts all words
 - more selective approaches
 - eliminate verbs, adjectives, adverbs, ... (see stopword elimination)
 - identify noun groups as index terms
 - example: "database management system", "computer science", "digital library"



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Text Mining

- Discover knowledge (high-level information) in unstructured text for metadata creation
 - patterns
 - relationships
- Uses techniques from
 - data mining
 - natural language processing
 - machine learning



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Text Mining Operations

- Feature Extraction
 - identifies facts and relations in the text
 - distinguish person, place, organization, etc. for noun phrases
 - uses dictionaries, linguistic patterns (part of speech tagging)
- Categorization
 - classifies documents into predefined categories
 - thesaurus-based approach
 - categories are determined based on frequencies of domain-specific terms
 - machine-learning approach
 - categorizer is trained with (pre-categorized) sample documents
 - statistically analyzes linguistic patterns (word frequencies, lexical affinities)
 - builds statistical signatures for the categories
 - uses the signatures to classify new documents



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Text Mining Operations (2)

- Clustering
 - groups together related documents into clusters
 - based on a similarity measure
 - may utilize lexical affinities, extracted features
 - done without predefined categories
 - variations
 - hierarchical
 - binary relational (flat)
 - fuzzy
- Summarization
 - reduces text while still keeping its key meaning
 - based on input parameters
 - number of sentences, percentage of original text to extract
 - result contains the most important sentences



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch

Linear/Sequential Searching

- Text documents are stored in one or multiple files
 - search by scanning the text sequentially, "before" preprocessing
 - also called "online search"
- Type of search: pattern matching
- Performance time proportional to length of document (collection)
 - numerous algorithms
- Appropriate only for small document collections
 - but may be the only option if
 - text collection changes too frequently
 - indexing is done periodically, index doesn't reflect current state
 - indexing is too expensive



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBloch

Signature Files

- Word-oriented index structure based on hashing
 - hash function (signature) maps words to bitmaps of length B
 - text is divided into blocks with b words each
 - a bitmap of length B is assigned to each block (plus a pointer to each block)
 - block bitmap is computed by bitwise OR-ing of the word bitmaps for all words in the block
 - idea: a word can only be present in the block, if the bits that are set in the word bitmap are also set in the block bitmap
- False positives
 - query word bitmap and block bitmap match, but the word doesn't appear in the block
 - probability for false positives depends on proportion b / B
 - tradeoff: overhead vs. false positives probability




© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBloch

Signature Files - Search

- Single keyword queries
 - determine signature value of query term, find matching block bitmaps
 - with q = bitmap of query term, B_i = bitmap of block i , find all blocks with $q \text{ AND } B_i = q$
 - additional sequential search on block is required for determining word match
- Phrase queries
 - determine bitwise OR of bitmaps for all words in the phrase
 - allows to find blocks (potentially) containing all the words
 - need to have blocks overlap to account for phrase words on block boundaries

Signature Files - Example



Inverted Files

- Word-oriented index
 - lists for each index term the identifiers of documents to which the keyword was assigned
 - includes additional information about the word occurrence (position in the document) and term weights
- Entry (row in the inverted file)
 - (term: (doc-id-1 pos-1 ... pos-n weight) ...)
 - pos: paragraph-no, sentence-no, word-no, character-no
- Search
 - search for individual terms in the index
 - phrase and proximity queries need to be split up
 - retrieve the occurrences
 - manipulate the occurrences
 - resolve phrases, proximity, boolean operators (list union/intersection/difference)
- Search steps can be supported by appropriate access structures (B-trees, ...)

Inverted files/indexes are the best foundation for text retrieval in practice



Inverted Files - Example

1 6 9 11 17 19 24 28 33 40 46 50 55 60
text This is a text. A text has many words. Words are made from letters.

<i>inverted file</i>	<i>vocabulary</i>	<i>occurrences</i>
	letters	60 ...
	made	50 ...
	many	28 ...
	text	11, 19 ...
	words	33, 40 ...



Summary

- Text as a media object
- Text retrieval - main problems
 - imprecise search
 - similarity, relevance of text documents
- Text/Information retrieval models defines internal document model, similarity rank
 - boolean model, fuzzy set model, vector model
- Relevance feedback uses user input to improve retrieval quality
 - adjusts query and/or document representation
- Retrieval evaluation based on notions of relevance, precision, recall
- Query languages
 - keyword-based (keyword, phrase, boolean operators, natural language queries)
 - pattern matching (simple patterns, error tolerance, regular expressions)
- Document preprocessing produces logical internal document representation
 - lexical analysis, stopword elimination, stemming, index term selection
- Text Mining operations extract higher-level information
 - feature extraction, categorization, clustering, summarization
- Indexing and Searching for text
 - sequential search, signature files, inverted files/indexes



© Prof.Dr.-Ing. Stefan DeBiloch