Chapter 9 - Web Services
Coordination and Transactions

Coordination - Motivation

- Interactions are typically more complex than simple invocations
- Need to coordinate (sets of) activities or applications
  - Distributed
  - Running on different platforms using local coordinators
- Examples
  - Reach consistent agreement on the outcome of distributed transactions
    - Atomic transactions, 2PC
  - Coordinate auctioning activities
    - Involves seller, auctioneer, buyers
  - Interactions between a customer and a supplier for ordering a product
    - Request order, order goods, make payment
Conversations and Coordination Protocols

- Interactions form a *conversation*
  - sequences of operations (message exchanges)
  - maintain context information across invocations
- Interactions adhere to a *coordination protocol*
  - specifies a set of correct/accepted conversations
  - vertical protocols: specific to business area (e.g., product ordering protocol)
  - horizontal protocols: define common infrastructure (e.g., transactions)
- Different ways of modeling conversations
  - state machines
  - sequence diagrams
  - activity diagrams
- Middleware support can be provided, with various degrees of automation
  - conversation controllers
  - generic protocol handlers

Modeling Protocols - Activity Diagrams
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External Web Services Architecture

Conversation Controller

- Performs conversation routing
  - dispatch message to the appropriate "internal object"
  - one object for each instance of a conversation (e.g., an ordering session)
  - involves message correlation (conversation identifier), management of conversation context
    - example: session id
- Verifies protocol compliance
  - understand definition of the protocol (-> standardization of protocol descriptions)
  - check if all messages adhere to the protocol definition
- Can be implemented as a component of a SOAP router
Generic Protocol Handlers

- Module that implements a specific coordination protocol
  - includes protocol-specific logic
  - processes and generates messages in accordance with the protocol rules
- Mostly applicable to horizontal protocols
  - example: transactions
- Forms of protocol execution support
  - handler realizes complete support, no intervention from the web service
    - Example: reliable messaging
  - handler and web service jointly realize the support
    - Example: atomic, distributed TAs
      - infrastructure coordinates sending/receiving prepare/commit/abort messages
      - web services decide over commit/abort, implement operations

Implementing Horizontal Protocols
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Communicating Roles and Port References

- **A's port reference**
- **B's port reference**
- **A's role**
- **B's role**
- **horizontal protocol handler (A)**
- **horizontal protocol handler (B)**
- **conversation controller**
- **protocol messages**
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Standardization

- Coordination infrastructure support for web services needs to be based on standards for:
  1. generating and transporting unique conversation identifiers in SOAP headers
     - needed to map messages to conversations, and eventually to the objects handling them
  2. a framework and a set of (meta-) protocols for agreeing on which protocol is to be executed on how it is coordinated
  3. horizontal protocols
     - to separate horizontal protocol implementation from the individual web services
  4. protocol languages
     - to allow for protocol verification

- **Web Services Coordination (WS-Coordination) Specification**
  - standardizes 1, 2)

- **Web Services Atomic Transaction (WS-AtomicTransaction) Specification**
  - uses WS-Coordination framework to define coordination type for Atomic Transactions (i.e., it standardizes 3) for atomic TAs)

- **Web Services Business Activity Framework (WS-BusinessActivity) Specification**
  - same for (long-running) business transactions

- Standardized by the OASIS WS-TX technical committee
  - initial proposals by BEA, IBM, IONA, Microsoft

---
WS-Coordination

- Basic entities are **coordinators** and **participants** that wish to be coordinated
  - central coordination: all participants talk to a single coordinator
  - distributed coordination
    - each (or multiple) participant talks to its own coordinator
    - coordinators are chained together (subordinate coordinators act as participants)

- Abstractions to describe the interactions between coordinator and participants
  - coordination protocol
    - set of rules governing the conversation
    - example: 2PC
  - coordination type
    - set of logically related protocols
      - example: atomic transactions (completion, 2PC, volatile 2PC)
    - instance of a coordination type may involve several instances of the coordination protocols

- Coordination context
  - used to exchange coordination information among different parties
  - contains coordination type, identifier of the coordination type instance
  - placed within messages exchanged between parties (SOAP header)

Coordinator/Participant Interactions

- Coordination service (coordinator) consists of
  - **Activation** service (generic)
    - Used by a participant to create coordination context (initiate instance of protocol type)
    - WS Interfaces: ActivationCoordinator, ActivationRequester
  - **Registration** service (generic)
    - Enable application to register for coordination protocols
      - provide endpoint information, role
    - WS Interfaces: RegistrationCoordinator, RegistrationRequester
  - (set of) **coordination protocols** (protocol-specific)
    - Specific to coordination type

- Extensibility
  - Publication of new coordination protocols
  - Definition of extension elements that can be added to protocols and messages
Distributed Coordination - Interactions

2. App1 sends App2 an application message containing Ca

App1

App 2

1. CreateCC Type Q returns Ca

activation service ASa

registration service RSa

coordinator A

2. 

<CoordinationContext>
   <Identifier> A </Identifier>
   <CoordinationType> Q </CoordinationType>
   <RegistrationService>
      <wsa:Address> RSa </wsa:Address>
      <wsa:ReferenceProperties>
      </wsa:ReferenceProperties>
   </RegistrationService>
</CoordinationContext>

3. CreateCC Passing Ca returning Cb

activation service ASb

registration service RSb

coordinator B

4. Register passing Y and App2 returning Yb

5. Register passing Y and Yb returning Ya

WS Atomic Transactions

- Atomic Transactions (TA) coordination type
  - Defines type-specific commit protocols
    - Completion: A participant (app creating the TA) registers so that it can tell the coordinator when/how to complete the TA (commit/abort)
    - 2PC: a resource manager (RM) registers for this protocol to be included in the commit/abort decision
      - Hierarchical 2PC (local coordinators can be interposed as subordinate coordinators)
    - Two variants of 2PC
      - volatile 2PC: a participant wants to be notified by the coordinator just before the 2PC begins
        - Example: participant caches, needs to communicate changes on cached data to DBMS before TA commits
      - durable 2PC: a participant (e.g., DBMS) manages durable resources
    - Completion must be registered with the root coordinator
    - Participants can register for more than one protocol
    - Extension elements
      - Example: communicate isolation levels
X/Open DTP revisited ...

AT WS-Coordination Flow
AT WS-Coordination Flow (cont.)

App1:
- sends a CreateCoordinationContext message (1) to its local coordinator’s Activation service A5a
  - create an atomic transaction T1
- gets back in a CreateCoordinationContextResponse message (2) a CoordinationContext C1 containing
  the transaction identifier T1, the atomic transaction coordination type and CoordA’s registration address
  RSa
- sends a Register message (3) to RSa to register for the Completion protocol
  - gets back a RegisterResponse message (4), exchanging protocol service addresses for the coordinator
    and participant sides of the two-way protocol
- sends an application message to App2 (5)
  - propagating the CoordinationContext C1 as a header in the message.

App2:
- decides to interpose local coordinator CoordB in front of CoordA
  - acts as a proxy to CoordA for App2
  - CoordA is the superior and CoordB is the subordinate
- does this by sending a CreateCoordinationContext message (6) to the Activation service of
  CoordB (ASb) with C1 as input
  - getting back (7) a new CoordinationContext C2 that contains the same transaction identifier (T1) and
    coordination type, but has CoordB’s registration address RSb.
- registers with CoordB for the PhaseZero (volatile 2PC) protocol (8 and 11)
  - CoordB registers with CoordA for the PhaseZero protocol (9 and 10)
- sends a message to DB (12), propagating CoordinationContext C2

DB:
- decides to interpose its local coordinator CoordC by sending a
  CreateCoordinationContext message (13), further extending the superior-
  subordinate chain
  - gets back (14) a new CoordinationContext C3 that contains the same transaction identifier
    (T1) and coordination type, but CoordC’s Registration service address RSc
- registers with CoordC for the 2PC protocol because it is a resource manager (15
  and 20)
- causes CoordC to register with CoordB for the 2PC protocol (16 and 19)
- causes CoordB to register with CoordA for the 2PC protocol (17 and 18)
AT - 2PC Protocol

- Two-way protocol
  - Exchange of messages between coordinator and participant
- State Diagram
  - State reflects common knowledge of both parties

AT Coordination Protocol Flows

[Diagram showing protocol flows between web server, middleware server, and database]
AT Coordination Protocol Flows (cont.)

- **App1:**
  - tries to **commit** the transaction using the Completion protocol (1)
- **CoordA** executes prepare-phase of **Volatile 2PC protocol**
  - has 1 participant registered for PhaseZero (CoordB), sends a **Prepare** message (2) to CoordB's PhaseZero Participant protocol service Pb-pz
  - CoordB relays **Prepare** message to App2 (3)
  - App2 sends its cached updates to DB
    - application message (4) propagates the CoordinationContext C2
  - sends a **Prepared** message (5) to CoordB
- **CoordA** executes prepare-phase of **durable 2PC protocol**
  - sends a **Prepare** message (7) to CoordB's 2PC Participant protocol service Pb-2pc
  - CoordB sends **Prepare** message (8) to CoordC's 2PC Participant protocol service Pc-2pc
  - CoordC tells DB to **Prepare** (9)
- **CoordA** **commits**
  - sends **Commit** message (13) to CoordB
    - Committed notification to App1 (13a) can also be sent
  - CoordB sends **Commit** message (14) to CoordC
  - CoordC tells DB to commit T1
    - DB receives the Commit message (15) and commits
  - **Committed** message returns (16, 17 and 18)

---

WS-BA – Business Activities Framework

- **Characteristics** (see discussion in chapter on WfMS)
  - Usually long-running
    - Responding to a request may take a long time
  - May consume lots of resources, perform a lot of work
    - Early commit of atomic subactivities/transactions
    - Forward recovery, compensation
  - **Goal:** define protocols that "wrap" proprietary business activity mechanisms to achieve interoperability
- **Design points**
  - State transitions need to be reliably recorded
  - All request messages are acknowledged
    - Detect problems early
  - Response to a request is a separate operation
    - Not the output of the request
    - Avoid problems with timeouts of message I/O implementations
Compensation

- An action used to logically undo the effects of another action is called compensation action
  - Extends to real world actions
    - drilling a hole: throw away part
  - Semantic Recovery: Recovery schema based on compensation
    - Compensation very likely one of today's most frequently exploited techniques in transaction processing

- Compensation action is often dependent on context
  - E.g. writing an offer and sending it via mail to a customer
    - If letter is still in outbasket, simply remove it from outbasket
    - If letter is already received by the customer, write and send a countermanding letter

- Compensation often cannot recreate the same state that existed before the proper action had been performed
  - E.g. canceling a flight might cost a cancellation fee
  - Even more complicated, the cancellation fee might depend on the point in time, i.e. it is higher the later the cancellation is requested

- Compensation action may fail!

Sagas – Transactions and Compensation

- Sagas support specification of compensation actions in advance and run them automatically on abort
  - Sequence of (Sub-)Transaction/compensating action pairs
  - DBMS guarantees LIFO execution of compensation actions during abort/rollback of Saga
  - ACID for each sub-TA

**Definition:**
A Saga is a sequence \([T_1,C_1],..., (T_n,C_n)\) having the following properties:
1. \(T_1,...,T_n\) and \(C_1,...,C_n\) are two sets of transactions, such that \(C_i\) is the compensation function for \(T_i\),
2. \([(T_1,C_1),..., (T_n,C_n)]\) is executed as one of the following sequences:
   i. \([T_1,...,T_n]\), if all \(T_i\) committed, or
   ii. \([T_1,...,T_i, C_{i-1},..., C_1]\) if \(T_i\) aborts and \(T_1,...,T_{i-1}\) committed before.
Business Activities Model

- Application is partitioned into business activity scopes
  - carries out business tasks using web services (participants)
  - mutually agreed outcome of all participants
- Participants registered with a coordinator of a BA
  - notify the coordinator about (successful) completion
  - may be asked by the coordinator to cancel an active task or to compensate a completed task
  - may indicate that it
    - cannot complete the task (and has cancelled it)
    - is leaving (exit) the BA (and has cancelled it)
    - has failed (during regular activities, when compensating or cancelling the task)
      - state of work is undetermined!
- Scopes may be arbitrarily nested

Business Activity (cont.)

- Business Activity (BA) coordination types
  - AtomicOutcome: coordinator directs all participants to either close or compensate
  - MixedOutcome: coordinator may direct some participants to close, others to compensate
- BA protocol types
  - BusinessAgreementWithParticipantCompletion protocol
    - participant must know when it has completed all the work for a business activity
  - BusinessAgreementWithCoordinatorCompletion protocol
    - participant relies on coordinator to tell it when it has received all requests for work in the business activity
Business Agreement Protocol

- BusinessAgreementWithParticipantCompletion – State Diagram

Summary

- Coordination protocols
  - protocol defines set of correct conversations (WS message exchanges)
  - involves multiple partners, roles implemented as web services
  - vertical vs. horizontal protocols
  - different modeling approaches (e.g., activity diagrams)

- Infrastructure
  - conversation controller for internal routing based on conversation identifier
  - generic protocol handlers for horizontal protocols

- Coordination protocol infrastructure
  - WS-Coordination as a framework for supporting coordination protocols
  - central vs. distributed coordination

- WS-Transaction
  - based on WS-Coordination infrastructure
  - atomic transactions vs. business activities