Chapter 4
Remote Procedure Calls and Distributed Transactions
Outline

- Remote Procedure Call
  - concepts
    - IDL, principles, binding
  - variations
    - remote method invocation
      - example: Java RMI
    - stored procedures
- Distributed Transaction Processing
  - transactional RPC
  - X/Open DTP
- Summary
Communication and Distributed Processing

- Distributed (Information) System
  - consists of (possibly autonomous) subsystems
  - jointly working in a coordinated manner
- How do subsystems communicate?
  - Remote Procedure Calls (RPC)
    - transparently invoke procedures located on other machines
  - Peer-To-Peer-Messaging
  - Message Queuing
- Transactional Support (ACID properties) for distributed processing
  - Server/system components are Resource Managers
  - (Transactional) Remote Procedure Calls (TRPC)
  - Distributed Transaction Processing
Remote Procedure Call (RPC)

- Goal: Simple programming model for distributed applications
  - based on procedure as an invocation mechanism for distributed components
- Core mechanism in almost every form of middleware
- Distributed programs can interact (transparently) in heterogeneous environments
  - network protocols
  - programming languages
  - operating systems
  - hardware platforms
- Important concepts
  - Interface Definition Language (IDL)
  - Proxy (Client Stub)
  - Stub (Server Stub)
How RPC Works

- Define an interface for the remote procedure using an IDL
  - abstract representation of procedure
    - input and output parameters
  - can be independent of programming languages
- Compile the interface using IDL-compiler, resulting in
  - client stub (proxy)
  - server stub (skeleton)
  - auxiliary files (header files, ...)
- Client stub (proxy)
  - compiled and linked with client program
  - client program invokes remote procedure by invoking the (local) client stub
  - implements everything to interact with the server remotely
- Server stub (skeleton)
  - implements the server portion of the invocation
  - compiled and linked with server code
  - calls the actual procedure implemented at the server
RPC

(1) Client calls the local proxy

(2) Client proxy marshals (packs) arguments to "order"

(3) Client runtime system sends the call packet (arguments and procedure name)

(4) Server runtime receives the message and calls the right stub

(5) Server stub unpacks the arguments and calls the server program

(6) The "order" program runs as if it were called locally. Results flow back to the caller by reversing the procedure.
Binding in RPC

- Before performing RPC, the client must first locate and bind to the server
  - create/obtain an (environment-specific) handle to the server
  - encapsulates information such as IP address, port number, Ethernet address, ...

- Static binding
  - handle is "hard-coded" into the client stub at compile-time
  - advantages: simple and efficient
  - disadvantages: client and server are tightly coupled
    - server location change requires recompilation
    - dynamic load balancing across multiple (redundant) servers is not possible

- Dynamic binding
  - utilizes a name and directory service
    - based on logical names, signatures of procedures
    - server registers available procedure with the N&D server
    - client asks for server handle, uses it to perform RPC
    - requires lookup protocol/API
  - may be performed inside the client stub (automatic binding) or outside
  - opportunities for load balancing, more sophisticated selection (traders)

- Location transparency usually means that a remote procedure is invoked just like a local procedure
  - Binding process for remote and local procedures usually differ
RPC Variation 1: Distributed Objects

- Basic Idea: Evolve RPC concept for objects
  - application consists of distributed object components
  - object services are invoked using Remote Method Invocation (RMI)
- Utilizes/matches advantages of object-oriented computing
  - object identity
  - encapsulation: object manipulated only through methods
  - inheritance, polymorphism
  - interface vs. implementation
  - reusability
Distributed Objects with Java RMI

- Mechanism for communication
  - between Java programs
  - between Java programs and applets
  - running in different JVMs, possibly on different nodes

- Capabilities
  - finding remote objects
  - transparent communication with remote objects
  - loading byte code for remote objects
Java RMI – Development

- Java is used as the IDL and development programming language
- Development steps
  1. Defining a remote interface (e.g., *Order*)
  2. Implementing server object class (e.g., *OrderImpl*, which implements *Order*)
     - only application logic; communication infrastructure not "visible"
  3. Implement client object, invocation of remote (server) object
     - locate the remote object using the RMI registry
     - invoke methods on remote object using the remote interface
  4. Provide server code for
     - creating a server object (instantiate server object class)
     - registering the server object with the RMI registry
Example - Class and Interface Relationships

- Remote
  - Order
    - OrderClient
      - OrderImpl
        - OrderServer
  - UnicastRemoteObject

'marker' interface
stub variable declaration
extends
implements
create

class providing remote server object 'infrastructure'
call via stub
Java RMI – Deployment and Runtime

**Deployment**
- generate stub and skeleton using RMI compiler
- invoke server code for creating and registering the server object

**Runtime**
- run the client application
- issuing a server object lookup in the client application will result in transferring a client stub object (implementing the remote interface) to the client application
  - stub class needs to be loaded into JVM on the client, either through local class path or dynamically over the network
- invoking methods on the remote interface will be carried out using stubs/skeletons as discussed earlier
RPC Variation 2: Stored Procedures

- Named persistent code to be invoked in SQL, executed by the DBMS
  - SQL `CALL` statement
- Created directly in a DB schema
- Stored Procedure creation requires
  - header (signature): consists of a name and a (possibly empty) list of parameters.
    - may specify parameter mode: IN, OUT, INOUT
    - may return result sets
  - body (implementation): using SQL procedural extensions or external programming language (e.g., Java)
- Invocation of stored procedures
  - using CALL statement through the usual DB access approaches (e.g., JDBC – see `CallableStatement`)
    - RPC is not transparent!
    - generic invocation mechanism, no stubs/skeletons involved
  - in the scope of an existing DB connection, active transaction
RPCs and Transactions

- Example scenario for T: debit/credit
  - T invokes debit procedure (ST1), modifying DB1
  - T performs credit operation on DBS2, modifying DB2
- Need transactional guarantees for T
- Program structure of T
  
  BOT
  
  CALL debit(...)
  
  CONNECT (DB2)
  
  UPDATE ACCOUNTS SET ...
  
  DISCONNECT
  
  EOT
- Requires coordination of distributed transaction
  - based on 2PC
Transactional RPC (TRPC)

- Servers are resource managers
- RPCs are issued in the context of a transaction
  - demarcation (BOT, EOT) usually happens on the client
- TRPC-Stub
  - like RPC-Stub
  - additional responsibilities for TA-oriented communication
- TRPC requires the following additional steps
  - binding of RPC to transactions using TRID
  - notifying TA-Mgr about RM-Calls if performed through RPC (register participant of TA)
  - binding processes to transactions: failures (crashes) resulting in process termination should be communicated to the TA-Mgr
X/OPEN – Standard for Distributed TA Processing

- **Resource Manager**
  - recoverable
  - supports external coordination of TAs using 2PC protocol (XA-compliant)

- **TA-Mgr**
  - coordinates, controls RMs

- **Application Program**
  - demarcates TA (TA-brackets)
  - invokes RM services
    - e.g., SQL-statements
  - in distributed environment: performs (T)RPCs

- **Transactional Context**
  - TRID generated by TA-Mgr at BEGIN
  - established at the client
  - passed along (transitively) with RM-requests, RPCs

---

**local environment**

- **TA-Mgr**
  - (TM)
  - Begin
  - Commit
  - Rollback

- **Application**
  - (AP)
  - Request

- **Resource-Mgr**
  - (RM)
  - Prepare, Commit, Rollback
  - Join

**TX-Interface**

**XA-Interface**
Interactions in a Local Environment

1. AP -> TM: begin() – establishes transaction context, global TRID
2. TM -> RM: start() – TM notifies frequently used RMs about the new global transaction, so that RM can associate future AP requests with the TRID
3. AP -> RM: request – the RM
   1. first registers with the TM to join the global transaction (unless it was already notified in (2) above), then
   2. processes the AP request
4. AP -> TM: commit() (or rollback) – TM will interact with RMs to complete the transaction using the 2PC protocol

A thread of control is associated with at most one TRID at a time. An AP request is implicitly associated with a TRID through the current thread.
Outgoing TRPC: CM acts like a RM, notifies local (superior) TM that TA involves remote RM

Incoming TRPC: CM notifies local (subordinate) TM about incoming global TA

Superior TM will drive hierarchical 2PC over remote TM/RMs through CM
Summary

- Remote Procedure Call
  - important core concept for distributed IS
  - RPC model is based on
    - interface definitions using IDL
    - client stub (proxy), server stub (skeleton) for transparent invocation of remote procedure
    - binding mechanism

- RPC Variations
  - Remote Method Invocation
    - supported in object-based middleware (e.g., CORBA, Enterprise Java)
  - Stored Procedures

- Transaction support for RPCs
  - distributed transaction processing guarantees atomicity of global TA
  - transactional RPC
  - X/Open DTP as foundation for standardized DTP
    - variations/enhancements appear in object-based middleware (CORBA OTS, Java JTA/JTS)
Appendix

JAVA RMI EXAMPLE
Example Scenario: Pizza-Service
Example – Remote Service Interface

```java
import java.rmi.*;
import java.util.Date;
public interface Order extends Remote {
    public void addItem(int pizzaId, int number)
            throws RemoteException;
    public Date getDeliveryDate() throws RemoteException;
    public Date setDeliveryDate(Date newDate) throws RemoteException;
    ...
}

...
```

```java
import java.rmi.*;
import java.rmi.server.UnicastRemoteObject;
import java.util.*;
```
Example – Server Class Implementation

```java
public class OrderImpl extends UnicastRemoteObject implements Order {
    private Vector fItems;
    private Date fDeliveryDate;

    public OrderImpl(String name) throws RemoteException {
        super();
        try {
            Naming.rebind(name, this);
            fItems = new Vector();
            fDeliveryDate = null;
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.err.println("Output: " + e.getMessage());
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
    }

    // 'export' Order object for accepting requests
    // register with name server

    // Implementation for accepting requests
}
```
Example – Server Class (continued)

... public void addItem(int pizzaId, int number )
    throws RemoteException {
      // assuming class Item is known
      Item item = new Item(pizzaId, number);
      fItems.addElement(item);
    }

    ... // Impl. of other methods
Example – Server

```java
import java.rmi.*;
import java.server.*;
public class OrderServer {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        try {
            OrderImpl order = new OrderImpl("my_order");
            System.out.println("Order server is running");
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.err.println("Exception: " + e.getMessage());
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
    }
}
```

remote object name (later used in client lookup)
Example – Client Program

...  
import java.rmi.*;
public class OrderClient {
    public static void Main(String args[]) {
        try {
            Order order = (Order) Naming.lookup("/my_order");
            int pizzaId = Integer.parseInt(args[0]);
            int number = Integer.parseInt(args[1]);
            order.addItem(pizzaId, number);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            System.err.println("system error: " + e);
        }
    }
}

returns an instance of the stub class (generated from the remote Order interface)
Example – Compile, Generate Stub, Run

- Compile:
  \texttt{javac Order.java OrderImpl.java OrderClient.java OrderServer.java}

- Generate stub and skeleton code:
  \texttt{rmic OrderImpl}

- Administrative steps:
  - Start directory server: \texttt{rmiregistry}
  - Start RMI-Servers: \texttt{java OrderServer}
  - Run clients: \texttt{java OrderClient}